

**Durham City Neighbourhood Planning Forum Working Group  
29 May 2018, Miners' Hall**

**1. Welcome and apologies**

**Present:** John Ashby (Chair), Sue Childs, Peter Jackson, John Lowe, David Miller, John Pacey, Matthew Phillips, Ros Ward.

**Apologies:** Pippa Bell, Roger Cornwell, Jonathan Elmer, Ann Evans, Angela Tracy.

**2. Notes of 22 May 2018**

The notes of 22 May were agreed and **Sue** will post them on the website.

Matters arising:

- Sue had sent the consultants all the details they required.
- Ros reported that the consultants wished to visit on 26/27 June. **Ros** would arrange details with them. We would like to meet them at the beginning and end of their visit. They also need to meet the landowners concerned (DU and Lovegreen, and DCC if there are additional sites in their *Preferred Options*). We also need time to discuss with them the nature of their support for our SA. It would be helpful if DCC and HE could be part of this session.
- Peter suggested that Keith Bartlett should be invited to discussions about culture projects.

**3. Check on Dates for Revision of Themes**

The following revised dates were **agreed**:

5 June: Theme 3 Economy and also David's suggested revisions to Projects

12 June: Consideration of DCC's *Preferred Options* due to be published on 5 June

19 June: Theme 2b Green Infrastructure and Theme 5 Transport

26 June: Visit from consultants

3 July: Theme 4 Housing and Theme 6 Community and also John P's review of references to DU.

It was **agreed** that as we complete this stage of revising the themes we should send them to Carole Dillon to ask DCC officers for comments on the revised policy wording, but explaining clearly the stage of development of the plan: the revised policies would be just one of the options considered through the SA process.

**4. Dementia Friendly Durham City**

**Ann** was unable to attend to report on the training event, but she would send out a written report.

Ros had sent out a link to a paper on this topic from the RTPI (email on 28 May).

**5. Update on GDPR email responses**

Sue reported that very few people had failed to respond.

## 6. Any other business

- It was **agreed** that we needed to check the draft plan to ensure that our policies properly protect historic views in Our Neighbourhood. We need to make clear the economic benefits to the County of the WHS. It needs the additional protection that the neighbourhood plan can give; this is in accordance with the NPPF.
- **Ros** reported that she would discuss the revised policies in Theme 2a with Ann, DCC and HE. Sue noted the need to check that we include protection for the green settings of historic buildings.
- Ros reported that she had contacted Debbie Lamb at Locality in response to her email (23 May) to Roger.

## 6. Date of Next Meeting

Tuesday 5 June following the 9.00 am Forum meeting at the Miners' Hall